

<b>Family Name</b>	Casey
<b>Given Name</b>	Ivor
<b>Person ID</b>	1287075
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Casey
<b>Given Name</b>	Ivor
<b>Person ID</b>	1287075
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am writing to you as a resident living in Mosley Common which is classed as a village and NOT a town. I believe that the development JPA35, north of Mosley Common is unsuitable for the area for a number of reasons, the main fact being that this is located on our precious green spaces. Not only will a vast section of our local green space be destroyed instead of preserved, it will also bring increased traffic to Mosley Common and the surrounding areas. This will create more pollution which will pose a risk to local air quality and the environment. Green spaces provide separation between neighbouring towns in order to protect the identity of places like Mosley Common. There has been a significant increase in the number of houses in Mosley Common already, widening the village as a result. The boundaries between Mosley Common, Tyldesley, Boothstown and Ellenbrook will become further blurred between these unique places.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Considering the above, there are no modifications that would make this section of the plan legally compliant. The local infrastructure is already overstretched and this would create further serious constraints. The further vehicles on local roads would be greatly increased causing worsening congestion and impacting on public transport services such as buses being unreliable as a result of increasingly congested roads.</p> <p>The historic traffic problems in Mosley Common and the growing pressures on local transport infrastructure in the area demonstrates that the "North of Mosley Common" proposal contradicts the aims outlined in GM's Clean Air Plan. The proposal for an additional 1,100 houses will worsen local air pollution levels rather than improve them. There is little detail outlined in the proposals with regard to schools, which are already over subscribed, health, GP surgeries, dental practices, the provision for recreation which are already strained locally. I strongly urge you to reconsider the "North of Mosley Common" allocation.</p>